

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

January 20, 2006 LB 72

standards on security guards than we do on members of the Board of Regents? So this is the question I'm going to ask you. Would you object to my striking line 2 on page 4 with one of my amendments? And what would be stricken is "Ethics, honesty, professionalism, and proper conduct." Would you object to me striking those words from this bill?

SENATOR STUHR: Well, yes, I would.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Well, what do they have to do with anything?

SENATOR STUHR: Well, I think they have a lot to do with the licensing of these individuals.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Could you give me an idea...I meant, and I don't mean you have to go into great detail...why would the person have to be ethical? All he's going to do is be doing whatever this bill allows. He's not running a university system or establishing policy anywhere.

SENATOR STUHR: No, he's not establishing policy, but I think that this was...I mean, this was discussed in the discussion with the industry, and they felt that certain standards, you know, should be put forth and they want honest, upholding people serving as security officers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Oh, so this is what the industry itself wants into the law.

SENATOR STUHR: Yes. Yes.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: They want people who are ethical, they want people who are honest, they want people who are professional, and they want those who engage in proper conduct. And if I read the bill correctly, these are the minimum guidelines.

SENATOR STUHR: Yes.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: This is the ground floor of what they want to have.