

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

January 20, 2006 LB 72

SENATOR CHAMBERS: And a desperado is a desperate person who might be willing to resort to desperate means to achieve my goal.

SENATOR STUHR: Right.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: One of those desperate means may include disabling or killing somebody with a weapon if that somebody tries to stop me from doing what I intend to do.

SENATOR STUHR: Right.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: It's reasonable to conclude that that may happen.

SENATOR STUHR: Yes.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Now, if I am desperate enough, or if I'm going to hit and get, and I'm aware that there might be people in Borsheim's who are carrying weapons and armed, but I don't know which ones are, but somebody is, then maybe what I've got to do is be sure that anybody who is there, who may be one who will obstruct me, is erased. Is it possible that allowing these guards to be dressed plain clothes and carrying a concealed weapon could lead to problems that would not occur if the guard were in uniform?

SENATOR STUHR: I don't know, Senator Chambers, and I don't know even what is done in, you know, a jewelry store of that type. I...really, I don't know. But...

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Senator Stuhr, if you had a jewelry store and there was a chance that you may be robbed, would you employ...or if it's a proprietary situation, where you don't bring somebody from the outside but you have kind of like house security, would you have somebody in a uniform if you have a security guard, or would you have somebody who is not visible on the floor at all but may be sitting at a monitor which scans the entire operation and no attempt would be made to apprehend a person committing a crime until the person had left and nobody in the store would be endangered? And you may not have an answer,...