

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

January 20, 2006 LB 72

that we could put in there? Because if you can do it, I think it's a little better practice.

SENATOR STUHR: Yes, Senator Beutler. We had quite a discussion as we were meeting, you know, with the industry because this was a matter of concern for them. And yet, when we were starting a new process such as this, we were reluctant to put something in statute and thought that it might be more appropriate to put it in the rules and regs after, you know, we were able to ascertain a little bit more of the costs. Now, if there's some way that we can put a range in, you know as well as I know, it makes it more difficult to change a set fee when it is in statute. But, you know, if you feel more comfortable in putting a range, if we can somehow come up with that number...

SENATOR BEUTLER: A water conservation fee would be a new fee, too, and you'd probably feel most comfortable if it was clearly stated.

SENATOR STUHR: Yes, that's true. (Laugh)

SENATOR BEUTLER: Thank you, Senator.

SENATOR STUHR: (Laugh) That's true.

PRESIDENT SHEEHY: Mr. Clerk, you have a motion at the desk?

CLERK: Mr. President, Senator Chambers would move to amend with FA356. (Legislative Journal pages 422-423.)

PRESIDENT SHEEHY: Senator Chambers, you're recognized to open on FA356, an amendment to the committee amendment.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Thank you. Mr. President, members of the Legislature, I'm going to take all of this morning on this bill. So these are small amendments, but I think they will improve the bill while I take time. On page 2, in line 18--Senator Stuhr, can you follow along to see if it's okay with you--I would strike the word "officials" and insert the word "personnel," and we would then make it clear that the uniforms we're talking about relate to law enforcement personnel and not put