

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

January 20, 2006 LB 72

SENATOR COMBS: Um-hum.

SENATOR BEUTLER: So the...what you have to learn in this permitting process is broken down almost the same way. The only difference is, the State Patrol in one case is administering the test, and the Secretary of State in the other case. Well, does it make sense just to have the same test, the same permitting process for both?

SENATOR COMBS: It would, because you would be using a concealed weapon in very similar, same circumstances. And a lot of the training is when not to use the weapon, and I think that's probably the most important part of that training. I know that's in the State Patrol's program. I don't know what the Secretary of State would come up with. So to opine on that, at this point, I have to say I would prefer the State Patrol.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Okay. Well, it just seems to some extent, because of the fortuitous circumstances of the two bills going through at once, that we're perhaps not forced to, but it's going to look nonsensical to people to a certain extent if we don't coordinate these provisions a little bit more, I think. And with that, I would stop, Mr. Lieutenant Governor. Thank you, Senator Combs.

SENATOR COMBS: You bet.

PRESIDENT SHEEHY: Thank you, Senator Beutler. Senator Stuhr. This is your third time.

SENATOR STUHR: Okay. Thank you, Mr. President and members of the body. I appreciate having this discussion, because I think we're all working towards the same goal. And just a comment on why we did include the Secretary of State, was, one reason is that we just didn't want to set up another whole bureaucracy in regards to security officers. And we tried to find something that was quite similar in nature, which was the private investigators. I do not have a problem, and I have...we have not had an opportunity to check with the industry, but it would only make sense, I think, to look at the same requirements,