

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

January 19, 2006 LB 548

one or more projects and, yes, it would include the reserve account or accounts. That's what I'm uncertain of, whether you can have more than one reserve account per issuance. So it would include the reserve account or accounts for each of the projects and those would all be computed as, go back to Section 3, financing costs, so they are included and permitted and allowed subject to all the other controls.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Right. Now that we have a general idea what it could mean, if it could mean more than one account associated with one project, why would that be and how would that be justified under the bill?

SPEAKER BRASHEAR: I am reliably informed that I can tell you that there is one reserve account for one project, so that's what it means; so that further adds to the interpretation and construction, that we're talking multiple projects and that's how you get into multiple reserve accounts.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: But now, being the nitpicky person that I am when it comes to drafting legislation, and not wanting to leave anything to interpretation by the court if we can avoid it, we could write language that would clearly state what you and I are talking about...

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute, Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...so there wouldn't be any doubt, couldn't we? Make it clear that...

SPEAKER BRASHEAR: Yes, we could, and no one should have any objection to that.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Okay. And I'm not going to try to do it here because we can do that at a later stage. But this is to let people know that, as I read this bill, I actually see where some changes could be made, but I don't even want to offer those kind of amendments now. I'll talk to Senator Schrock, you and others who have an interest, including Senator Beutler. Because my understanding may not be correct, to offer an amendment might catch others by surprise who also may not be sure that that's