

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

January 19, 2006 LB 548

SENATOR CHAMBERS: (Laugh) Okay. That's all I will ask you, Senator Schrock. Members of the Legislature, as I understand this, and I'm not going to go through each provision of Section 4, which my amendment deals with, OPPD is facing requirements by the federal government to do certain things because it's a public utility. OPPD is going to be required to do that through the expenditure of money, which will not be provided by the federal government. The government knows that these entities have ways of raising money. In order to get the money to pay these...for these types of projects, OPPD will issue some bonds. We have been told that if there's a dedicated source or earmarked amount of money someplace that cannot be used for any other purpose than the handling of these bonds and all the...

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...expenses associated with them, OPPD will get a high bond rating and servicing the debt will cost less for OPPD. And because of that high bond rating, there will be less of a cost to OPPD for the credit that it's being extended. By that saving, the customer ultimately will be spared paying an amount which they would have to pay if the high bond rating wasn't there. It's projected, from what I understand, that those savings will offset any amount that will appear as that special assessment, if you want to call it that for ease of reference, that puts money into that dedicated fund.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Time, Senator Chambers. You may continue, and this will be your third time.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: That brings me to a question. Right. Senator Schrock, I'm going to ask you this one. First of all, has what I stated been basically correct?

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Schrock.

SENATOR SCHROCK: You've been right on target this morning, Senator Chambers.