

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

January 19, 2006 LB 548

SPEAKER BRASHEAR: That's correct.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: And this one I'm going to ask Senator Schrock. Senator Schrock, is it possible that this bill could lead to a person paying more than currently is paid?

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Schrock, would you yield?

SENATOR SCHROCK: Yes, it's possible they would pay more, but I think they would be paying more anyway because there it's a mandate they're going to have to do something and so...but, in the long run, it should save some money so they should pay less. But they're going to pay more...

SENATOR CHAMBERS: So let me ask you...

SENATOR SCHROCK: ...than they would pay...

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...why is...

SENATOR SCHROCK: ...if the project hadn't been done.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Okay. I'm not objecting, but why is it necessary to put on the bill what this particular piece of change is going for?

SENATOR SCHROCK: My understanding, Senator Chambers, that it takes a separate line of credit, separate security, so it would give it a priority status, and...

SENATOR CHAMBERS: And that wouldn't be the case if it were not listed on the bill in that manner?

SENATOR SCHROCK: I think that's what the bond raters require.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Raters, r-a-t-e-r-s, or raiders, r-a-i-d-e-r-s?

SENATOR SCHROCK: Well, you know, I'm even hesitant to say the word nuclear, so I'm not sure, but you interpret that like you...