

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

January 19, 2006 LB 548

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Oh.

SENATOR CUDABACK: ...on your FA354. You may continue.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Thank you. Members of the Legislature, I know some questions that I'm going to be asked by people, not just in my district, when they...if they see any report on a bill such as this, where there will be a notation on their bill of a cost related to one of these mandated projects: Why are they going to have to pay more on their bill for something like this that the Legislature did, like they load up different things on a phone bill? I'd like to ask Senator Brashear a question.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Brashear.

SPEAKER BRASHEAR: Yes, Mr. President.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Senator Brashear, you're fairly familiar with the mechanics of this bill, correct, since I have you on your feet?

SPEAKER BRASHEAR: I guess I'd impeach everything I've said if I said, no, not really, but I think I've learned something during our colloquy about the bill.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Okay. And even other than what we "colloquized" about, wasn't it stated that there will be a notion on the bill that a certain amount is there because of this mandated project? And if that's incorrect, I would ask Senator Schrock to correct us, and he's coming to his mike.

SPEAKER BRASHEAR: I have an indication that that...you are correct.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Okay. So the only way a ratepayer would be aware that the listing of this additional cost did not increase the amount being paid over the previous bill is to look at the previous bill and look at the total amount being paid this month. That's the only way that person would really know.