

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

January 19, 2006 LB 548

question, if you will.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Brashear, would you yield to a question from Senator Chambers?

SPEAKER BRASHEAR: Yes, Mr. President, I will.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Senator Brashear, I had read a rather lengthy, what to me is a convoluted, sentence, but it has in it information needed. It's on page 7, line 7, but you don't have to go through the sentence. What I'm going to ask you this is. If a statute sets out a process for filing something with the court, and what is being set forth in the statute disagrees with existing Supreme Court rules, which prevails, the statute enacted by the Legislature or the rule adopted by the Nebraska Supreme Court, if you know?

SPEAKER BRASHEAR: The statute adopted by the Legislature would govern over the rule promulgated by the court, provided that there's not some higher authority that invalidates the statute.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: And that it does not violate the principle of separation of powers.

SPEAKER BRASHEAR: Powers, yes.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: But ordinarily, if it's a routine matter, then the statute would prevail.

SPEAKER BRASHEAR: Yes.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Okay. That was my feeling, too. What I was going through, and I'm not going to ask you any more, is that this bill has a lot in it that I really could not explain. I could give my opinion about what it means, but I couldn't tell anybody to rely on that if they were going to take some kind of action or other of a legal nature. But that is why lawyers are hired. Let me tell you another reason I went through all of these words in subsection (3) on page 1. I can see costs stacking up that go beyond the favorable bond rating, and the favorable interest rates based on that, when you look at all