

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

January 19, 2006 LB 548

There are more things that I want to say on this bill, though. I'm not going to interrogate people for the purpose of embarrassing anybody today, but the reason I was asking Senator Brashear questions was because I wasn't certain what some of these words mean that are in this laundry list and I would like to know. You all might be surprised at the kind of calls that I get from people all over the state. They actually think that I know everything. They think that I've read every bill at the time they call me. I have to let them know that I cannot read every bill in advance, but when it gets before us on the floor, if it's of any consequence I do try to read it, understand it, and I will offer amendments or even try to kill it, if I think it's bad. I will support it and try to improve it if I think it's good. Much work was done on this bill last session and I cannot, frankly, tell you there's anything in it that should not be in it, or anything should be added to it that is not in it already. That's not to say it's a perfect bill. I don't think, when you're dealing with something like this, that you can get a perfect bill. For example, beginning in line 7 on page 7: "Within ten business days after service of the petition for judicial review upon the public entity, the secretary or other duly designated officer of the public entity shall prepare and file with the Clerk of the Supreme Court, at the public entity's expense, the record of all documents submitted to and all oral and written comments made to the governing body in connection with the authorizing resolution." Now, if there is to be a submission to the clerk, the record of all documents submitted to and all oral and written comments, if it says "and written comments," does that mean the oral comments when they're submitted to the court must be submitted orally? And if they're written, then why do you say oral comments and written comments? I didn't write this so I don't know, but there's somebody who knows what this means. And I presume, when anything is filed with the Supreme Court, the lawyer is going to know enough to comply with Supreme Court rules. And if, because of the language in this statute, there is the use of the word "oral" when it comes to filing these various comments, if that does not comport with the Supreme Court's rules...I'd like to ask Senator Brashear a question so he can get a little enlightenment on this to show you something that I don't understand, and I just picked that out at random. Senator Brashear, I'd like to ask a