

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

January 19, 2006 LB 548

member of the Legislature making \$12,000 a year. We look for more than that out of him. So whenever somebody wants to make me cringe, pronounce a word incorrectly. Mispronounce "nuclear" as "nucular." In my closing, I'm going to mention why I cannot just praise OPPD unstintingly. They went along, when they didn't have to, with allowing the police to get the names of black employees so that they could be hounded by the Omaha Police into giving DNA samples because there was an alleged serial rapist, and the description...

SENATOR CUDABACK: Time, Senator.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Thank you, Mr. President.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Further discussion? Senator Stuthman.

SENATOR STUTHMAN: Thank you, Mr. President, members of the body. I would like to engage in a little conversation with Senator Schrock, if I may, please.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Schrock, would you yield to Senator Stuthman?

SENATOR SCHROCK: Yes.

SENATOR STUTHMAN: Senator Schrock, in Section 3, on line 20, it states that there will be possibility of a remarketing fee. Can you explain to me what that would be? And is that going to be a fee that is tacked on? I am not opposed to a marketing fee; but a remarketing fee? Could this escalate into quite a number of tools that could add an expense and be part of the bond that would have to be serviced by the average person?

SENATOR SCHROCK: Senator Stuthman, I would presume that would be if they had agreed on a fee, but then they decided they could get a lower rate at some point in time, and they would try to remarket that. I don't deal with this kind of financing in my everyday life, so I'm going to assume some of these things. But I would guess, if you have marketed your fees, and then you decide to refinance, then there'd be a remarketing fee. And I suppose that was included so they could be all-inclusive.