

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

January 19, 2006    LB 548

SENATOR WEHRBEIN:    Well, I thought they ranked insurance companies.

SENATOR CHAMBERS:    So then we have a little dispute here?

SENATOR WEHRBEIN:    There may be another one, Dun & Bradstreet. I don't know whether they do bond rating, too.

SENATOR CHAMBERS:    Okay. So we can do a little research here and find out what these rating agencies are that we're talking about by name. But we've at least established the type of operation they are and what it is they do. Thank you. Now I can go back to Senator Schrock, because he may be able to answer these other questions for me. Senator Schrock, when we see in line 19 the term "legal fees"...

SENATOR CUDABACK:    I'm sorry, your time is up, Senator. On with discussion. Senator Kremer.

SENATOR KREMER:    Thank you, Mr. President, members of the body. I will speak only once on this, but I did have a privilege to hear of this proposal before it was introduced, and also was on the committee that heard testimony. There was no opposition. And I think that we should do anything we can to lower the cost of our utilities, or whatever it might be. Senator Bourne did explain it very well, as well as Senator Schrock. It only applies if there's a mandate. And I would assume that if the cost of whatever that mandate would be could be paid out of reserves or out of anything else other than bonds, that the utilities company would definitely do that. I think anything that we could do to make their costs as low as possible benefits all of us as the consumers. Let's see, look at my notes here. I remember...I think maybe the only concern would be that it would probably be a dedicated amount on the bill. And sometimes when...I remember when the telephone companies had to itemize every cost in there, then people kind of got all upset because they thought it was new costs. But in the long run, it's a lesser cost. If they can get bonds at a lower rate, it's going to be lower rates to the customer. So I think it can be nothing but positive. The bill is very clear in defining what a mandate