

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

January 18, 2006 LB 57

a black man who had gotten on the Board of Regents in the way that David Hergert did, running an operation that had an explosion and gives odors, contrary to the law, who might run cattle across the border at night to avoid having to pay some \$2 a head fee, who welshes on contracts and is found guilty of having done so in federal court by a white jury. If that had happened and a black man was on the Board of Regents, would there be all this vacillating and pussyfooting and saying, we have to stay out of it? Since we have these kind of resolutions here, I may start writing them, pointing out that you need to leave these kids alone in school when they cheat, because David Hergert has shown that crime pays, that liars win, that unethical people can put themselves in a position to rule on the ethics of others. But that's a white male. And there are people on this floor supporting and defending him, which shows me something about them. I'm going to use the rest of the morning in the way that I see fit, but I will touch on Senator Foley's bill so he won't feel lost in the shuffle. This motion to reconsider deals with a motion to get you to adopt an amendment which failed. That amendment would have said the following: "For purposes of this act, serious bodily injury means bodily injury which involves a substantial risk of serious permanent disfigurement, or a temporary or protracted loss or impairment of the function of any part or organ of the body." That is the amendment that was offered, and you rejected it. And what is before you now is a motion to reconsider that vote. I'm suggesting that we vote in the affirmative, reconsider that hasty, inappropriate action, and correct it this morning. How much time do I have, Mr. President?

SENATOR CUDABACK: About 2 minutes, Senator.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Thank you. I want to say again, so that it's clear the first time, I'm speaking to a motion of mine. I don't see the Speaker here, but he ought to be here to get whatever accolades he may garner by negotiating to the point where I've agreed to allow these various resolutions congratulating people and teams to go forward without any debate. I could discuss one of these resolutions forever, because cloture does not apply to resolutions. The Speaker knows that. He knows what I could do if I would become absolutely obdurate and recalcitrant. But the