

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

January 17, 2006 LB 57

the Catholic Church wrote it, nor will he find it in any legitimate scientific publication. Senator Smith, whose significant other makes some of the best pies I have ever eaten--try one of Mrs. Smith's pies and you will never go to Maria (sic) Callenger (sic), or "Colander" or Callender, whose pies are loaded with saturated fat, probably trans-fat. But Mrs. Smith, mm-mm-mm-mm-good. But Senator Smith is not quite up to her level of competency when he decides to send something around to us with a comment. An article relating to the investigation by an academic panel, and some other scientists, of some faked, forged, fraudulent--whatever term you want to use to let it be clear that the item being discussed is not legit--so-called research done in South Korea, where a man claimed that he had conducted successfully certain types of cloning. Senator Smith handed this around and wrote, in his inimitable hand: Colleagues, I thought you might find this useful. And Senator Johnson did find it useful, useful to make a very cogent point. Senator Johnson wrote and handed around to us the following: A perfect example of scientists challenging other scientific claims--were it only so with dogma. That is crucial. Scientists who come up with what they think is a new discovery, publish it over after--unless they're frauds like this guy in South Korea--after they have tested it, discussed it with others, even sometimes let others see whether or not they could replicate whatever it is, if it was a process that supposedly produced a specific result. When the publication occurs, other scientists read it very critically. It may have been written with a telescope, but it's examined with a microscope; in fact, an electron microscope, which is really a camera, strictly speaking, and it uses streams of atoms rather than light to magnify. But at any rate, there is a rigid process by which scientific findings, theories, conclusions are tested. The same is not so when it comes to religion. Religious people know that they're talking cock-and-bull nonsense, but they cover it over and they threaten anybody who would challenge it. In the old days, when the church...and not just the Catholic Church. There was a guy in Switzerland who burned a man at the stake, and he was what is called a Protestant. The man who was burned--I'm not going to tell you his name; you can check it out or come to me later. But the Catholic Church was the one, by and large, that did the burning.