

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

January 17, 2006 LB 57

in utero at every stage of development. From the moment of fertilization forward, "unborn child" is the correct legal term. And that's the term we ought to use in our statutes. That's the term we do use in our statutes in other areas of the law. The United States Congress has enacted legislation which uses the term "unborn child." Dozens of states have enacted statutes which use the term "unborn child." They do it because it's the correct term. Senator Chambers is simply incorrect to suggest that we should ditch that term and substitute a term of his choosing. Thank you, Mr. President.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Foley. On with discussion. Senator Stuthman, on the motion to reconsider.

SENATOR STUTHMAN: Thank you, Mr. President and members of the body. I want to engage in a little bit discussion with Senator Foley, if he would respond, please.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Foley, would you respond to a question of Senator Stuthman?

SENATOR FOLEY: Yes, I will.

SENATOR STUTHMAN: Thank you, Senator Foley. Senator Foley, your real concern is the attack on this unborn child; is that correct?

SENATOR FOLEY: Yes, it is, but it also gives some additional benefit to the pregnant woman, because of the location of the child. It gives her some added protection. And it's interesting, studies have shown that the rate of violence against pregnant women, unbelievable as it is, as it would sound, that rate of violence is higher against pregnant women than it is against women who are not pregnant. So the pregnant woman also, I think, enjoys some additional protection with passage of this statute. But the intent, as you stated it, is to provide additional legal protection for the unborn child, yes.

SENATOR STUTHMAN: Okay, thank you, Senator Foley. You're here in the legislative body right now. What were you before you