TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE Transcriber's Office FLOOR DEBATE

January 13, 2006 LB 57

PRESIDENT SHEEHY: Thank you, Senator Foley. Further discussion on FA199? Seeing none, Senator Chambers, you're recognized to close.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Thank you, Mr. President. As with NRA, this train is grinding its way. We're spinning our wheels, we have to run out the clock, and Senator Foley is not going to prevail. Even if he, as was the case with Senator ... I don't know whether to call her "Annie Oakley," "Belle Starr," "Calamity Jane," or Jeanne. But that's the...if I say "ABC" when I speak to her, that's what they're referring to--Annie, Belle, or Calamity. And she'll know, because we have our own communication system. If he would happen to get a cloture vote, his bill is not going anywhere. And there are lobbyists who are starting to get a little concerned now about the path the Legislature is going, and some senators are getting a little concerned, too, because they fell into that situation that they tell young people don't do. Don't do something tonight in a flood of emotion, then regret in the morning what you did last night. These grown people around here don't have that excuse. That's why they're not entitled to mercy; they're entitled to Atropos, "Atroposology." But when Senator Foley mentioned that his bill does not conflict with Roe v. Wade, he brought Roe v. Wade into the discussion, and then I asked some questions so people would know what that case dealt with and who the drafter of the opinion was. I haven't said this bill contradicts Roe v Wade. I have said that it's a part of a Catholic scheme to separate the woman from the fetus, give the fetus separate, independent personhood, and elevate that above the woman when it comes to value. You don't see him talking about, make sure these pregnant women have enough clothes to wear, enough food to eat. But that fetus, that's what they talk about. He said something that was very peculiar. He correctly stated, I'm paraphrasing what he said, that I, meaning Chambers, very strongly favor a woman's right to choose. And that's true, I do. I do. He said there should be as much protection of a woman's right to have a child if she wants to carry the pregnancy to term. There is nothing in the law that compels a woman to have an abortion. I would oppose any attempt by the state to compel a woman to have an abortion, so that is a red herring. If she wants to carry a