

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

January 13, 2006 LB 57

personal area of a woman's life. And when men who have exploited, mistreated, suppressed, and repressed women throughout history want to take control of that intimate part of their life, and women, because of conditioning, some of them, not all by a long shot, will allow it to happen, reaffirms for these men their control over women. If they really cared about these fetuses, there wouldn't be the amount of hunger and homelessness among children that you find. There are homeless women who have children in Lincoln, in Omaha, I know, and I don't know from experience, but I presume from other cities throughout this state. But you don't find the outcries to provide something for these hungry, homeless children. You don't see people with these professionally produced signs that you'll see supporting Alito, who is...they're trying to make a Supreme Court judge, saying, overturn Roe v. Wade. Those same people will not have those same types of signs...

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...saying, eradicate hunger in my city, give housing to the homeless. That is a part of Catholic theology that I like. It's called...not that stuff with those signs, but the concept of social justice, the notion...and I might give...be giving them more credit than they're due, but it fits in the same church, if not the same pew. There should be a line drawn, a level set below which no member of a civilized society would be allowed to fall. And as rich as this country is, and as many organizations and churches as there are accepting public money, there should not be the hunger and homelessness unaddressed that exists in this country.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Time, Senator.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Thank you, Mr. President.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Chambers. And that was your third time, Senator. Senator Foley, you're recognized, and this will be your third time as well.

SENATOR FOLEY: Thank you, Mr. President. Senator Chambers says that he agrees with the fundamental reasoning of Roe v. Wade.