

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

January 13, 2006 LB 57

interview. What kind of nonsense is that? They don't like what they hear on the floor either, so they're going to go hide, then they're going to say, can I get an interview with you? Well, they should do their job and pick up whatever they want to get an interview about on the floor. Do you all know that they get free space in this building and don't pay rent? Would anybody dream of letting a homeless person curl up in a little cubbyhole in this building to be warm, protected from the inclement weather? No. They should say there should be a line of...a wall of separation between the government and the media. That's what they need to say. But that is not the case. In the First Amendment, they do protect freedom of the press. But in America, that's not needed. You know why that was put in the Constitution? Because at one time there were what you could call crusading press organs, investigative reporters, who wrote things that displeased the government. In order to assure that they could do that without being punished for the government, a protection was put in. But they're the handmaidens of the government. They're the spokespersons for the government. When they're writing about something the government does, they say "we," like in Baghdad, "we" suffered these casualties; "our" strategy. No, they're supposed to be the objective, dispassionate reporters, transmitters of what is going on. But when they put themselves in it...they were even wearing flags after the time that those airplanes crashed into the buildings. They ought to keep their flags at home, and be the objective persons they're supposed to be. So there are many people who fly false flags in this society, and they're given free rides. Well, let everybody carry...

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...a false label. But what the Legislature puts into the statute, I should...I believe should be accurately and correctly labeled. We're talking here about a fetus, an embryo, and Senator Foley wants to push it all the way back to the stage of the zygote. But it is clear that we're not talking about a child, and this statute ought to properly and correctly identify or name what it is we're talking about. So my amendment would strike "unborn child," or any combination of words with that in it, and substitute "fetus." Thank you,