

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

January 13, 2006 LB 57

ovarian disease. And they were telling those women, yeah, our policy covers those diseases, but not you; we're not going to cover you because we think you just want to get that fixed so you can get pregnant. I fought hard for that bill. I got that bill through the Banking, Commerce and Insurance Committee, and I brought it to the floor as my priority bill. Who do you think voted no? Senator Chambers did; helped defeat the bill. Thank you, Mr. President.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Foley. (Visitors introduced.) Further discussion, the motion to reconsider? Senator Chambers, there are no further lights on. The Chair recognizes you to close on your motion to reconsider the vote on FA198.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Mr. President, members of the Legislature, Senator Foley brought bills to try to favor pro-life organizations and that's what he wanted that initiative for, an organization of his that followed his church's doctrine. And, once again, he is being grossly dishonest. The only time he brings something is when it accords with his church's dogma. I'm talking about healthcare, where women have children and they need food, they need medicine, not money to be sent someplace where a group is going to tell them don't get an abortion. That's not helping women, but that's his concept of it. And it confirms what I've said about his approach. He thinks, and he's certain, that this bill will be enacted into law, and I'm going to stop it. Some people have talked about Senator Foley and me being an irresistible force and an immovable object, but once again, showing how people cannot think, those terms are mutually exclusive. If a force is irresistible, nothing can stand against it so there cannot be an immovable object. If an object is immovable, that means nothing can disturb it so there can be no irresistible force. There can only be one or the other. But some people who don't think very far, of Senator Foley's ilk, would say, well, if an irresistible force met an immovable object, there would be an explosion. You'd probably say they're two things equally resistant or strong, so neither can do anything to the other. But there is not an irresistible force, not an immovable object. But in this case, I'm going to be the immovable object. You saw what happened when you let the NRA