

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

January 13, 2006 LB 57

concern.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Excuse me, Senator Landis, but we're now on your time, Senator Landis.

SENATOR LANDIS: Thank you. There is an inadequate concern of, it seems to me, where the mother's or the woman's interests, concerns, and her actions relate. I want to, last...and I want to yield the rest of my time because this is not meant to be one of those cross-examinations but a chance for reflection. Can you think of a hypothetical in which there could be an assault on a fetus, unborn child, take your pick for the language, and we all have our favorites, that does not constitute an assault on the woman herself? Because this bill describes only the assault on the fetus and it implies, I think, that there is no assault...or that it's two completely different things, which means that you could have an assault on a fetus without an assault on the woman, and if that's the case, I want to know what those circumstances are. And if it isn't that there's any distinction, I want to know why the fact that the assault on the woman isn't in this. So if they're separate, tell me the situation in which a fetus could be assaulted without the woman being assaulted, and if you can't think of that situation then tell me why an assault on the woman isn't part of this social wrong. I'll yield the rest of my time to Senator Foley.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Foley, about a minute has been used.

SENATOR FOLEY: Thank you. Thank you, Mr. President. Thank you, Senator Landis, for the time and the discussion. I want to...and I'm sure this is going to sound like I'm ducking your question, so hammer away at me. (Laugh) But I want to...I do want to mull it over a bit and I want to think through it. I don't want to just answer on the spur of the moment, put something on the record that I'm going to have to clarify further. I'd rather just mull it over, think about it, and give you a thoughtful response, because your question deserves a thoughtful response. And you've been...you've been good in this debate and fair in this debate, so please allow me that courtesy. Also, your question about implied consent, I think that's a good question. You know, I read the language and it