TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE Transcriber's Office FLOOR DEBATE

January 13, 2006 LB 57

Foley, please.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Foley, you have almost 5 minutes.

SENATOR FOLEY: Thank you, Mr. President. I notice that Senator Landis was called off the floor, and that's unfortunate because I wanted to thank him personally, and I will when he comes back, but I wanted to thank him for his honesty and for joining in the discussion, both yesterday and today. I think... I find Senator Landis' comments to be thoughtful and honest and very helpful. So, Senator Landis, just know of my And I see he's back. appreciation for joining the discussion, and you've raised some points that do merit some discussion. Having now complimented you, I've got to quibble a little bit with the semantics. blanch a little bit when we refer to the loss of an unborn child as simply the loss of a wanted pregnancy. From the mother's perspective, I don't think that's how she views the loss. I think she views it as the loss of a child. And it's, you know, it's a difference in choice of words, but I think it's an important distinction. It wasn't a pregnancy that was lost; it was a child that was lost. You raise some interesting questions about the language on the top of page 3 of the bill. Top of page 3 is that section which essentially exempts the mother herself from any potential of criminal prosecution. Any act conducted by the mother or engaged in by the mother is off-limits, so to speak, in prosecuted...from prosecutorial action. And I've talked to prosecutors about this language and how they view the fetal homicide statute. Of course, this is modeled after that. And they're telling me the language is good. They're telling me the language works and they're telling me of their successes in going after the kinds of criminals that you and I, I think, would agree he should or she should be going after--the drunk driver, the murderer, the rapist. You and I both want these people prosecuted. So I'm a little reluctant to say I want to change what works. I'm not telling you, Senator Landis, I won't accept any amendments in that area, but I'm going to tell you up front I'm going to be reluctant to change what works, and the prosecutors are telling me you've got good solid language, we're using it in court successfully, don't fix what ain't broken. Questions have come up from time to time. We heard this question four years ago on the fetal homicide