TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE Transcriber's Office FLOOR DEBATE

January 13, 2006 LB 57

driver crashes into them and kills their child or inflicts permanent brain damage on that child, you bet they'll regard that as a loss, and so should our laws. Senator Chambers says, show me the prosecutor who will take these cases. That's true of any criminal matter. There are cases which a prosecutor won't touch because the difficulty of proof is so difficult, is so enormous, and he won't touch certain cases. That's true of all areas of criminal law. But there are other cases where you can prove it, where all the elements of the crime can be shown, and you can prove it beyond a reasonable doubt. And I want to empower those prosecutors to go after those drunk drivers and those rapists and those assailants and prosecute them when they cause injury to someone else's child. That's common sense. Thank you, Mr. President.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Foley. Further discussion on the motion to reconsider? Senator Landis.

SENATOR LANDIS: Thank you, Mr. Speaker, members of the Legislature. I'm going to oppose a couple of questions or interpretation. You'll probably have a chance to listen to them. My guess is your light will be on, Michael. I don't want to...this isn't one of those cross-examination things, but there are a couple of questions I want to ask about. One of my concerns is about, in fact, that area that Senator Foley was just talking about. I, too, agree that in fact the woman's grief, of a mother who has lost a wanted child in a pregnancy that she intended to take to term, has suffered a huge, huge loss, and the person who takes that from her, against her will, has committed a reprehensible and heinous act for significant criminal penalty, I think, is entirely justified. Now, I'm concerned about the way this is written in a couple of ways, and also I'm concerned about the language of the bill in that I think it focuses on, in fact, this use over and over again of the "unborn child" language, as opposed to what I think the...something that does not seem to be acknowledged here, and that is that the great source of loss is the robbery from a pregnant woman of ... and a family of a wanted pregnancy. To me, that's the huge overwhelming social cost here that needs to be recognized and punished. But there is a phrase here that says, look, the assault of an unborn child does not apply to any act