

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

January 12, 2006 LB 454

might slap me and I got to have a gun. That's the way I envision them. That's the way I envision the rural men in Nebraska--scared to death. I started to say shaking in their boots. I don't know what you call those dancing shoes, they've got flat toes so you can stand up on them when you're "balleting," or "ballerinaing." That's what they're shaking in. That's what they wear. (Singing) Tiptoe through the tulips, through the tulips. That's what I envision. It's too bad that...I don't think Tiny Tim is dead. He ought to play that song and dedicate it...

PRESIDENT SHEEHY: Time, Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...to all the scared men in Nebraska. Thank you, Mr. President.

PRESIDENT SHEEHY: Senator Schimek.

SENATOR SCHIMEK: Thank you, Mr. President and members. I would like to call your attention, if you haven't seen it, and some of you, I'm sure, have seen the article from the Lincoln Journal Star this morning, and the remarks by the city administrator in York, and also the city of Lincoln's chief of staff, and others who have some concerns about what this bill really will mean for cities. And it comes back to our discussion from yesterday, and my question about the bill in the first place, which in all innocence I asked. I didn't know the answer to my question as we began the discussion. But I'm becoming more and more convinced that this bill does have a problem. And one, I think it conflicts with existing state statute; and two, I'm not sure that there's any way we can fix it without resorting to new public hearings that will allow us to hear from the cities as to whether they want that ability that they now have to prohibit concealed carry. Unless we provide that opportunity for them to testify, I think that we run into some very serious problems. In addition to that, as we talked about on the floor a little bit yesterday, those particular statutes involving cities of the metropolitan, primary, and first class, and then cities and villages, all four of those statutes are in four different chapters. I don't believe we could amend them onto this bill without running into a germaneness problem, unless, of course,