

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

January 11, 2006    LB 454

passionate about this legislation. I feel passionate that it's wrong, and I hope it will be defeated. In deference to Senator Chambers here yesterday who talked so much about prayer, I heard from a man this morning who I have great esteem for. He said, if this legislation passes, there's going to be a need for more prayer in our state. Thank you for your consideration.

PRESIDENT SHEEHY: Thank you, Senator Price. Mr. Clerk, you have a priority motion?

CLERK: Mr. President, Senator Chambers would move to recommit LB 454 to the Judiciary Committee.

PRESIDENT SHEEHY: Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Thank you, Mr. President, members of the Legislature. I'm in a collegial mood today. I have not raised my voice. I have spoken with...in modulated tones, and I intend to continue doing so, because we're just passing time, and I'm going to pass some more. This amendment, this motion, would allow the discussion of issues raised by Senator Schimek, issues which resulted in questions that Senator Flood attempted to answer. He supports this bill no matter what. I wish he were still on the floor, because I'd like to ask him a question. But this committee amendment is not well drafted. When we read these kind of bills, a lot of people are so eager to just get something across the board, no matter how trashy, poorly crafted, illogical, or unreasonable it is, so they can run out there and tell the NRA they delivered as they were told to do. And I don't know whether they've been promised jobs or what, but to find people who are elected officials becoming such sycophants for an outfit like that makes me skeptical. Now, any lawyer...and by that I mean a person trained in the law, not necessarily practicing. If one was trained a thousand years ago, this statement would immediately jump out as being incomplete. On page 8, in line 1, it says, "Any peace officer having probable cause that a permitholder is no longer in compliance." An officer does not have "probable cause"; an officer has "probable cause to believe." The ones who drafted this trash know that Nebraskans are easy, so even when it comes to legal formulae that are always utilized, they don't even get