TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE Transcriber's Office FLOOR DEBATE

January 10, 2006

with something else then this legislative body is saying agriculture isn't important. And so maybe more than anything I was playing defense for the Ag Committee. I did not want the Ag Committee and the Natural Resources Committee merged, and for whatever reason I'm glad the Rules Committee didn't do that. Senator Beutler, you raise an interesting question here, because you do have it correct. If you serve on the Natural Resources Committee, you'll serve on the Retirement Committee. And I can tell you in the past it's been very easy for the rural senators to trade for...to trade the urban senators -- we'll give you Judiciary seats and we take Natural Resources seats. probably isn't in the best interest of the state to have the Retirement Committee stacked with rural senators and not urban senators. Now, maybe, with the Retirement Committee meeting on a Friday and you have to serve on both committees at the same time, that will change. Do we welcome more urban senators on the Retirement...on the Natural Resources Committee? say so. I think urban issues are of great interest to those on the Natural Resources Committee, and one of the issues we haven't addressed yet. And if we don't do it this session, and I don't think we will, it's going to be very weighty, and that's the storm water issues and what happens to our...what happens to pollution when you're under construction zones and so on and so The committee did tour Omaha, not this summer but the prior summer; saw construction sites, saw impoundments, saw recreation lakes, saw lakes that were meant for flood control. And so Natural Resources is just not a rural issue; lot of urban issues there. And so I thank you for bringing this amendment, Senator Beutler. Gives a little chance to contemplate more what we're doing, and I don't think we have... I don't think we understand all the ramifications of this.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Schrock. Senator Stuhr.

SENATOR STUHR: Thank you, Mr. President and members of the body. I stand in opposition to Senator Beutler's amendment for very similar reasons that Senator Brown stated, in the fact that no other standing committee do we state the day or the time that that committee is to meet. And what we are dealing with right now is just looking at...I forget which rule it is, but it is just striking that meeting over the noonhour. So I believe that