

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

January 10, 2006

probably any...as many ways as you could organize those...the committees as there are numbers on a grid. What we sorted through was what we felt would be the best way to present to you, to the Legislature, to handle this issue. I've been on committees that have been three-day committees. I've been on Appropriations for the last few years. You know, I've met till, like you have, till 7:00 or 8:00 at night. It's just not predictable. It also isn't terribly predictable what's going to happen in a given year and what kind of issues will be before a committee. But we did look at the historical data on how many bills committees have, and I agree with Senator Schrock, the number of the bill, I mean, it could be a word, it could be multiple pages. If you were on...been on the Transportation Committee or the Health and Human Services Committee, either one, those are two I've been on where having 100-page bills is probably more common than having a short bill. What we need to do today is to acknowledge that the world has changed. When I was driving in this morning, I don't know anybody else who was driving in who commutes to the Legislature, I was listening to a story on the impact of recent changes in the way retirement is being calculated and how it's going to impact the states, and they were interviewing people from around the country about what a huge issue this is. I think what the rules change recognizes is that we need to make a change here because that committee needs the time. I believe that the Natural Resources Committee, of the committees that we looked at, and as Senator Schrock said to the Rules Committee, of the committees that we could take a day from, Wednesday through Friday, that is the most logical one to take a day from. And I'm sorry if maybe some days they're going to have to meet a little later into the evening. That's pretty much the norm for several committees that have lots and lots of bills that have come before them in the last few years. What this proposal that we put forward to you is, is a recommendation based upon the testimony we heard, based on the sort that we had of what the best options were. Obviously, nobody wants to give up a committee day. I think that's just going to be the fact. But the fact is, we're going to have to prioritize, and the Revenue...and the Retirement Committee needs this day. So we looked at multiple other options. I support the Rules Committee's recommendation. It will mean, if the day is sorted out, that the same people would serve on this