## TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE Transcriber's Office FLOOR DEBATE

January 10, 2006

will be the responsible group to fit this in. There will be 20 new senators next year, and the schedule could be completely changed as we know it at the present. But the Committee on Committees will get the fun job to fit all of this in with the appropriate members on each committee, and I would urge your adoption. Thank you.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Hudkins. You've heard the opening. Open for discussion on the Proposal Number 2. Senator Schimek, followed by Senators Erdman and Schrock. Senator Schimek.

SENATOR SCHIMEK: Yes, thank you, Mr. President and members. I rise because I have a few questions about all of this, and if Senator Hudkins would, I'd like to address them to her.

SENATOR HUDKINS: Yes.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Hudkins.

SENATOR SCHIMEK: Senator Hudkins, this same...well, not exactly the same, but it was established in 1989 that we should do this and, yet, it never happened. What kind of assurances do we have that anything would happen this time around?

SENATOR HUDKINS: Yesterday the Rules Committee met with the current Chairman of the Committee on Committees. Of course, next year we choose new leadership, but Senator Pedersen said that if he is Chair of the committee that it will be done.

SENATOR SCHIMEK: Okay. I appreciate that. And I did come to your Rules Committee hearing and I know that you dealt with four different proposals and I felt that if...and I said at the Rules Committee hearing, that if we were going to do anything that we needed to do it this year, and I was hoping for something a little bit broader. Could you explain to the body why the Rules Committee chose this route, rather than say Proposal 1, 2, 3, or even 4 I think? This is a little bit different from all of the proposals that were presented by Senator Erdman.

SENATOR HUDKINS: Some of the original proposals proposed