

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

January 9, 2006      LB 542A

conservative friends, who don't want to expand the size of government, who don't want to send good money after bad, who don't want to see study after study for social problems, social issues, but they're going to throw this money away to some facilitator who will tell all these experts who are on the commission how to have a meeting. I can't finish right now, so I've got to turn my light on one more time, at least.

SPEAKER BRASHEAR: Thank you, Senator Chambers. Members, the call is raised. The Chair apologizes. Senator Stuthman.

SENATOR STUTHMAN: Thank you, Mr. Speaker, and members of the body. I would like to engage in a little conversation with Senator Burling.

SPEAKER BRASHEAR: Senator Burling, will you yield?

SENATOR BURLING: Yes.

SENATOR STUTHMAN: Senator Burling, this \$100,000, where is that to be utilized? Is this for expenses of the committee, or expenses of the facilitator?

SENATOR BURLING: Both.

SENATOR STUTHMAN: When I look at this committee, the committee of 16, and 8 members are from the Legislature, that means the 8 members of the Legislature should, realistically, be compensated half of that, which would be \$50,000, so that would be \$6,000 for each one of the 8 members of the Legislature that are going to serve on this. Would that be realistic, or is that real far-fetched, or am I thinking that the facilitator is going to be the one that's going to be benefiting from the majority of that \$100,000?

SENATOR BURLING: Depending upon how often the committee, the commission meets, whether your \$6,000 for each senator is close, but it could be. The bill calls for the commission to meet quarterly, at a minimum, and more often if they deem necessary, and so it will have a lot to do with what the commission decides, how often they want to meet. And they'll look at the