

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

January 9, 2006 LB 542

don't recommend reform, but at least people know that this commission is doing more and expected to do more than merely review the tax policy of this state? They conduct the review with an eye toward the reform of the system. Would you agree with that?

SENATOR BURLING: I don't have any problem with that.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: So you wouldn't object to this amendment?

SENATOR BURLING: No. If you want to...

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Okay.

SENATOR BURLING: ...use the word "reform" more, why, that's fine.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Thank you. Members of the Legislature, this is not a trick amendment. I explained it, even if people didn't listen. Senator Burling did, and he has no objection to it. I know, having been in the Legislature as long as I have been, having been in the world even longer, that bureaucrats and others who are assigned to carry out the requirements of the law will often do contrary to what the law directs them to do. But at least the standard is there, a determination can be made that they are deviating from what the law requires, and any citizen or other person who is of a mind can take steps to bring their conduct in conformity with the law. When this statute will say that they make recommendations for the reform of the system, the name of the commission ought to convey the maximum authority that the commission can exercise, or the most significant duty that it can perform. There should not be a statute which would give the impression that less is being done or authorized than actually is authorized by the law. Since reform is contemplated, I think that's what the commission's name should be. That doesn't mean that I support the commission. But if somehow it scrapes its way across the board and becomes law, I would want this proposal...

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.