

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

January 9, 2006 LB 542

land to be valued at 70 percent of its market value, or however he drafted his bill, while city slickers will be valued at 92 percent. He wants to cut taxes...

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...for some, but not all. So when people talk about tax cutting, they're saying, I don't want my ox to be gored, but I want yours to be, and I don't care to what extent yours is. And that, believe it or not, is what this bill is dealing with, although that was not the intent of the introducer and those who support it. You're going to cut taxes. We need to spend more on those programs and those initiatives that will improve the lives of the people in this state. The public is not benefited by all this political posturing about cutting taxes. You're going to give somebody \$10 here, somebody \$7 over there? They can't even go to a show and buy a box of popcorn, from what I've heard. I don't go to the show anymore. And that is what this session is going to go down in history for having done, and that's going to let the Governor, who now is in office without having been elected,...

SENATOR CUDABACK: Time, Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...ride the wave of popular approval into the Governor's chair, for giving somebody \$7?

SENATOR CUDABACK: Time, Senator. You may continue, and this will be your last time.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: On this proposal. Thank you, Mr. President. Members of the Legislature, let's do some cutting of unnecessary expenditures. However, if this bill goes noplac, we're not cutting anything, because nothing is being spent for it now. This is a bill that increases spending. I'd like to ask Senator Burling a question, because I'm doing all the monologuing, and perhaps I'm misrepresenting the nature of his bill.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Burling, would you respond?

SENATOR BURLING: Yes.