

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

June 2, 2005

LR 98

law. But I am interested in what the will of the body is, because I cannot defend the actions of any of those individuals who are in Senator Friend's amendment.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Time, Senator Erdman.

SENATOR ERDMAN: Thank you, Mr. President.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Erdman. The next five speakers are Senators Friend, Schimek, Smith, Janssen, and Foley. Senator Friend.

SENATOR FRIEND: Thank you, Mr. President, members of the Legislature. I was wondering...Senator Schrock, I'm sorry I didn't ask you this before. I was wondering if he was available for...to answer a question. Senator Beutler, maybe you would...

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Beutler, would you...

SENATOR FRIEND: ...yield to a question if you...

SENATOR CUDABACK: ...yield?

SENATOR FRIEND: Senator Beutler, I think the...one of the points that I wanted to make in my opening...and I didn't do justice to the...I guess, to the amendment, to explain to the body exactly what I was trying to accomplish. But one of the things that I discussed was an objective, or an end game, or what we feel like we can get to as a body, and then, further, what I can get to with this amendment. In your opinion, where do you think we can get to? And let me make it a little more pointed. I don't personally believe that articles of impeachment are necessary in this situation. Nor do I believe that they are...I believe there's enough questions, legal questions, raised that it might not even be legitimate. But would you speak to the idea of maybe where you would see this going? Would a public, you know, resolution, just saying, Regent Hergert, resign, is that a sufficient type of thing? And I should ask that of Senator Schrock, too. But is that a sufficient type of thing or an end game for you?