

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

June 2, 2005

LR 98

those that have already engaged. I think it's appropriate. I think the comments that I'm hearing are, this is about fairness. This is about a fundamental fairness in our election law, according to Senator Beutler. This is a fundamental fairness, according to Senator Friend, about how we treat all who have violated the act. And he's used information from Senator Schrock to show that there are others. Senator Friend has brought us an amendment that shows that there are others currently serving on the Board of Regents that have violated the act. And so it is a matter of fairness. The process is unique. The process in which you get elected is unique. Senator Beutler has worked tirelessly to adopt a policy for the state of Nebraska that governs the limitation or the process in which campaigns will be governed, as far as how money is spent and how that is disclosed. And for that, I think he should be commended, because I think Senator Beutler recognized a problem, and I think it's been shown on a national level that there is an interest. And I think there is sincere disagreement on the actual policy. But I would argue that that's not why we're here this afternoon. It flat-out isn't. I support the bill that Senator Brashear introduced to repeal Campaign Finance Limitation Act. I have my own ideas of what it would look like. But my humble opinion is, that is completely irrelevant to the issue at hand. In fact, in one of the local newspapers here, I was asked about the race, prior to any of the fines that were levied or agreed to, and my comments were this: quote, regardless of a person's position on existing law, I think we have to do everything we can to abide by it, end quote. Absolutely. It does not matter whether I agree with CFLA or not. It does not matter whether Dave Hergert agrees with it, Drew Miller, Don Blank, Mike Friend. It does not matter. That is the law of the land, and we are a nation that is governed by those laws, if we will abide by them. That is how we avoid those problems that Senator Beutler pointed out. So this is about fundamental fairness. It absolutely is. I think Senator Friend brings us a unique question. Is it fundamentally fair to pick one individual over another, who are current members of the same board, in regards to their actions that violated a similar act? That's how our legal system is now. Senator Beutler has pointed that out. Just because person X didn't get convicted, that doesn't mean person Y shouldn't. And that's what we have