

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

June 1, 2005

LB 548

would hope that the expenses are off budget as well. Because you would skew your entire revenue stream if both were not. I can't tell you they are or they aren't, but I just don't see it yet. So I've got some concerns. If I could just maybe summarize, I think this...the bill could use some attention. Senator Beutler's amendment certainly goes a long way to protecting the public. And I would entertain further discussion, and I appreciate the time. Thank you, Mr. President.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Mines. Senator Beutler.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Senator Cudaback, members of the Legislature, it is, I think, a very complicated bill. And again, I would be the last to say we don't want to think very seriously about this. Because if we can raise the bond ratings, that's good. But there are some other kinds of issues in there, like what percentage of the overall revenues of the district would you allow to be taken up in these mandated projects of one type or another? And remember, the definition is broad, so there could be a number of them. And let's say you...let's say they did too many of them. Well, they might get a good bond rating on the mandated projects. But what happens to their bond rating on the other projects if their total revenues are committed over here to the mandated projects? So should we be thinking about offsetting bond rates? I mean, I don't think that would be the problem for one project. But if it's a problem...if there's more than one project, do you need...should we be thinking about some kind of cap, or how far they should be able to go with this? Or do you leave it to management judgment? Those kinds of problems. But I also wanted to point out that with respect to the specific amendment that we're talking about, that once this financial calculation or formula or allocation as between residential and ag and commercial and all that, once that's all done, not only is it final and conclusive, but it says, once the financial calculation formula or other method of determining the mandated project charges and the periodic adjustment method had been established in the authorizing resolution, they shall not be changed. They shall not be changed. And so I'm wondering whether we've thought through all of our different ideas of economic development as it might relate to these kinds of