

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

June 1, 2005

LB 70

view is, what my position is, and what I think we ought to be voting on. I'm not in a position to structure an amendment and have it considered which would do what I'm talking about. Although, on General File, I did offer to Senator Smith an amendment that would have outright repealed the motorcycle helmet law. But he was not in favor of that. And he told me that he didn't have the opportunity when I presented it to really understand what it did. But I made an attempt to bring before us an outright repealer, and I was unsuccessful. Maybe that has not clarified anything in anybody's mind. But it's as clear as I can make my position. And I will answer any questions that are put to me for further clarification. Thank you, Mr. President.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Chambers. Further discussion on the motion to recommit to committee? Senator Jensen.

SENATOR JENSEN: Thank you, Mr. President. If any of you have the green copy in front of you, if you have the amendment that was filed, AM1770, just look at page 17, paragraph (4): Except for eye protection requirements of this section, enforcement of this section by state and local law enforcement agencies shall be accomplished only as a secondary action when an operator or a passenger has been cited or charged with a violation of some other offense. Now, when I read that, I still say, if you had a five-year-old child on the back of your motorcycle without a helmet, they cannot pull you over. Think about the endangerment of a five-year-old child on the back of a motorcycle without a helmet. That's okay if we pass AM1770. Think about what you're doing. That's why I felt that we could not ever pass the green copy of the bill. That's also why...and, Senator Chambers, I also had an amendment that would have outright repealed the motorcycle helmet law for one year. I didn't like that, but that was an amendment that I filed, for one year, while we do a study. And at the end of that one year, the study would say, should we go back to where we were with the helmet law. Outright repeal. That was not accepted. But I think that we're on dangerous, dangerous ground when we say that it's a secondary offense if you're riding without a helmet and the child behind you is also without a helmet, and we allow that to go on on our