

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

June 1, 2005

LB 373

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Wehrbein. Further discussion on the Preister amendment? Senator Bourne.

SENATOR BOURNE: Thank you, Mr. President and members. Senator Preister, would you yield to a question or two?

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Preister, would you yield to a question of Senator Bourne?

SENATOR PREISTER: Yes, I will.

SENATOR BOURNE: And to clarify, you did discuss this with me some time ago, but I thought that we were talking about something else and I just wanted a little clarification. So what you would add here, on page 1 and subsection (f), a description including an estimated quantification of the fiscal impact on state agencies, political subdivisions, regulated persons, the public and the environment. That's what you had...that's how it would read after your amendment was added.

SENATOR PREISTER: That's how it would read. That's correct.

SENATOR BOURNE: Okay. What if it is a Department of Revenue regulation? Would the department still have to quantify the impact on the environment? Obviously, the Department of Revenue has really nothing to do with the environment.

SENATOR PREISTER: That's correct, Senator Bourne. And for an agency like that, they would simply put in there, has no impact, and that would be sufficient.

SENATOR BOURNE: Okay. Why then, if I could ask, it says the public, but the bill already talks about regulated persons. So why would...say, if a person...you know, there's two types of individuals that would be contemplated under the bill. One would be regulated people, and the other would be all of the public. So why would we want to quantify what impact it is on the public who might or might...who might not be impacted by the regulation? What I'm suggesting is, what you're asking for is already contemplated in the bill.