

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

June 1, 2005

LB 373

that I have a problem with this, Senator Preister, but I'm a little concerned about the...adding the word "environment" there. Is that going to be too all-encompassing? You don't really want an environmental impact. I mean, can that be interpreted to have a...to be environmental impact? You...we've had...we're using agencies, political subdivisions, regulated persons, the public, and then adding "environment." That's pretty big. What's your intention?

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Preister.

SENATOR PREISTER: Yes, I would. It is, Senator Wehrbein, but we have in the amendment that was drafted including an estimated quantification. So it isn't an all-encompassing. It isn't something where they're having to do what you referred to, I don't believe. And if it's an agency where it doesn't apply, they can simply say it does not apply. So it's going to apply to many agencies but not necessarily all of them. Banking, for instance, they would simply say it does not apply, and that would certainly cover it.

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: But what if it...say it was DEQ were doing some regulations. Now I know they would do some environmental issues, but obviously the environment is going to be there anyway. But I am concerned, I guess I'd like to have you state that in some way this is intended to be an environmental impact study, which are very, very expensive, and perhaps...

SENATOR PREISTER: No, you're right. I'm not intending to have this as an environmental impact study and that kind of comprehensive, involved, kind of technical estimate. No, that's definitely not the case.

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: Okay. Well, I think I'm all right with that but I'm...I appreciate your saying that. Thank you.

SENATOR PREISTER: And I appreciate your not wanting to go to that expense or that detail, and that's my understanding, and that's what I'm intending as well.

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: Thank you.