

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

June 1, 2005                    LB 645

SENATOR D. PEDERSON: ...anyone apparently, anyone on the floor. It's not been litigated in any manner.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: So then...okay, thank you. I would like to ask...

SENATOR D. PEDERSON: Thank you.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...Senator Brashear a question.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Brashear, would you respond to a question of Senator Chambers?

SPEAKER BRASHEAR: Yes, Mr. President.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Senator Brashear, my understanding was that you would cite some case where it said that this can be done. So Senator Don Pederson must be in error when he said this issue has not been litigated. You're saying that it has been litigated, and you were reading from a case where it has been?

SPEAKER BRASHEAR: There is...Senator Chambers, the case law is in the opposite statement, that you cannot substantively legislate in an A bill. So therefore, you draw the conclusion. But I would also point out part of what is requiring this circumstance here now at this stage of the session are our rules. Our rules require A bills. So what you're identifying is a legitimate focus of course...

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.

SPEAKER BRASHEAR: ...and it's something maybe the Legislature would like to study.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Then what you said...

SPEAKER BRASHEAR: The reconciliation of the rules with the law with the process and procedure.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Then what you said about therefore A bill...I meant appropriations can be put in positive law was a conclusion