

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

May 31, 2005

LB 70

SENATOR CUDABACK: The vote is successful. The house is under call. All unexcused members please check in. Unauthorized personnel please leave the floor. The house is under call. Senator Dwite Pedersen, Senator Don Pederson, please, Senator Heidemann, Senator Landis, Senator Synowiecki, and Senator Bourne. The house is under call. Senator Dwite Pedersen and Senator Landis. Senator Dwite Pedersen. All members are present or accounted for. The question before the body is the adoption of AM1739. There has been a request for a roll call vote, regular order, on the question. Mr. Clerk, please call the roll.

CLERK: (Roll call vote taken, Legislative Journal page 1834.)
30 ayes, 11 nays, Mr. President, on the amendment to the amendment.

SENATOR CUDABACK: AM1739 has been adopted to AM1153. I do raise the call. Back to discussion. Senator Smith, followed by Senator Chambers. Senator Smith waives. Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Thank you. Mr. President, members of the Legislature, since that was a roll call vote, the names of everybody who voted will be a matter of record. I'm going to see how many of those people voted for...who voted for that are going to vote for the bill, if we get to the point of voting for the bill. But I have one amendment up there, which I think you may not have a problem with, and a second one that may give you some difficulty, and a third one that I'm drafting now would do away with this commission altogether. Those provisions such as that creating a commission are the types of things that I would refer to by the word "sop," something just thrown out there to entice somebody to go along with something which has no merit on its own. This bill--and that's what I can call it now, because you've adopted the amendment--is not anything that a person on this floor should be proud of, and nobody has to say he or she is proud. But it is in such unintelligible condition that it is unenforceable. If you know in advance that a piece of legislation is unenforceable, the only reason I can think of that you would support it is that you're doing so as a strategem. You do not like the motorcycle helmet bill, so you