

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

May 31, 2005

LB 70

exactly how long it was, but they would always come out and they would somehow get out of the trap that they were in, or they would escape from the warlords or whatever, and at the end, there was this guy, and I swear, he looked a lot like Senator Jensen--his name was Hannibal--and he would always say, I love it when a plan comes together. And as I look at this compromise, I mean, I love it when this compromise, this plan has come together. Because you have Senator Jensen and Senator Beutler and Senator Smith, who were on different sides of the issue, get together and come up with this plan that they thought met some of the concerns, under the idea that something was going to pass and they were trying to find that balance. And so they recognized the situation that they were in and they said, this is the plan that we think will bring us together to accomplish the goal. But they forgot that there were a couple other individuals laying in the weeds that were willing to shoot the process down, and so here we are again. But it's just kind of interesting. You know, we have a proposal here before us. Is it the best proposal? Probably not. That's why it's a compromise, and I think Senator Beutler has pointed that out. Some people have given on both sides. Ultimately, what we do is going to be probably one of those movie-type stories that hopefully Senator Jensen at the end of the day can say, I love it when a plan comes together. I'm going to rise in opposition to Senator Chambers' bracket motion. I think we should vote. I think we should decide where we're at on the underlying amendment. Senator Chambers wants us to do that by a test on his motion. You know, however we do it, vote no on the bracket, vote on the amendment, let's move forward and let's just see what happens. I believe that when there's a good-faith effort made to compromise and come up with a proposal, that that effort should be given some level of credibility. Obviously, if there are individuals that have concerns, that should be recognized, but I do believe that what has gone on here in this process is no different than what has gone in other legislation this session, where people on varying sides of an issue that have strongly held positions have come to some middle ground in order to accomplish a goal. And you can disagree about what that goal is, but again, I think it's just an interesting part of this process to say, we're going to negotiate in good faith, and at the end of the day, we're going to have others try to scuttle