

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

May 26, 2005

LB 645

not a good thing in 2007?

SPEAKER BRASHEAR: We are confusing possible illegal activities at the retail level, which are banned, with...

SENATOR CHAMBERS: I'm talking about the wholesale...

SPEAKER BRASHEAR: ...with potentially lawful activities at the wholesale level, which nobody is saying are illegal or whatever, but rather we are saying nobody should progress a particular aspect of wholesale until we have the study. So everybody has agreed to what I've called a standstill. That's what the moratorium does.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: But after...but after 2007, they can progress as far as they want to and are able to. Isn't that true?

SPEAKER BRASHEAR: That is correct.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: That doesn't make sense to me. Because if the study comes...

SPEAKER BRASHEAR: I'm sorry. I wish it did.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: If the study comes back, the study's report does not effect any change in the law in and of itself. It merely expresses a consensus with maybe some dissenting opinions included, but that's all that it is, is a report. It has no legally binding effect on anybody or anything. Isn't that true?

SPEAKER BRASHEAR: That is true.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: So what sense does it make to say that what is inappropriate in 2005 is going to become...is going to become appropriate in 2007?

SPEAKER BRASHEAR: Because in 2007 the lawfully instituted, constituted, public policy making body of the state of Nebraska will have before it the detailed, in-depth information it needs in order to make public policy and, in my opinion, will have a duty to react to that report.