

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

May 25, 2005

LB 478

those greater than \$40,000. I think it makes a lot of sense if we scale back a broader-based exemption, so that we can move forward in a direction I believe we need to move forward. But it would certainly make a lot more sense as we try to affect the bottom line of all of Nebraska. Thank you, Mr. President.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Smith. Senator Connealy, followed by Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CONNEALY: Thank you, Mr. President, members. This is not a general benefit. It's not a...it started out that way, but it's not. And this is a narrow exemption, and it's targeted to an industry. It's something that a Legislature like Nebraska can do, that's small and reactive. And that's one of the great things about Nebraska, that we can do this. It's not as much as Senator Cornett would like. It's not as much as the veterans would like. And it's not something that's broad for everybody. But it's something we ought to do. It's targeted to an industry that's growing in Nebraska, and that could grow more, or could go away if we don't help it. I think that it's logical that we would take this also as we look at how we grow Nebraska. It's a high-value jobs that can bring very technical and expert people here to the state. I believe that this is something that's going to not cost us very much, if anything at all, and will aid an industry that I think is good for Nebraska, a clean industry that will provide great jobs. I rise in support of the Cornett amendment and the underlying bill.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Connealy. Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Mr. President, members of the Legislature, what are they talking about when they say "great jobs"? It doesn't even make jobs available for all military retirees. I must think differently from my colleagues. But here's the way I see it. And it's the example I've given. Senator Howard is a person with security clearance. Senator Synowiecki does not have security clearance. Both of them are working on computers. Both of them are performing the same kind of work. But since Senator Howard is working with material, from time to time, that is classified, and Senator Synowiecki is not, she gets a tax