

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

May 23, 2005

LB 28

reduce the state's revenue. That doesn't make sense. It might make dollars for Senator Connealy's interest but it makes no sense here. I have not heard a convincing argument from Senator Connealy as to why Senator Landis' amendment should not be adopted. In explaining his amendment, I saw reasons why Senator Landis' amendment should be adopted. This heaping up, stacking on, calculating and figuring how to milk something like this for more than what the sponsors of the bill intended is not unusual. I've been watching television and looking at these CEOs who got credit for being magnanimous and humanitarians. They had one on "60 Minutes" last night, had given to this and given to that, and you find out he's one of the biggest crooks going and he sits there and looks the camera straight in the eye and says, all of my chief financial officers, all five of them, are liars, everybody who accuses me is a liar. He's talking to Mike Wallace. Wallace would ask him a question. Mike, you know better than that; that couldn't happen. I wouldn't lie. Why would I lie? I'm not lying. They're lying. Now that approach would impress Senator Connealy and he's say, well, these guys are not going to do anything like what Senator Landis is talking about because they're good guys. Guard against it. This amendment that is being offered by Senator Landis I intend to support. And I have grave misgivings about the rest of the bill. But I certainly don't want to expend money by the state to reduce the state's revenue. Thank you, Mr. President.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Chambers. On with discussion of FA23. Senator Landis, there are no lights on. You're recognized to close on FA23 to LB 28.

SENATOR LANDIS: Mr. Speaker, members of the Legislature, given our numbers, could I ask for a call of the house? I'll explain my amendment one last time. We'll have a vote and we'll be able to move on.

SENATOR CUDABACK: You may. There's been a request for a call of the house. All in favor of the house going under call vote aye; those opposed, nay.

SENATOR LANDIS: That's right. As Chris says, we're doing our work; let's get the rest of them.