

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

May 23, 2005

LB 28

positive.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Okay.

SENATOR CONNEALY: I think that it's with the estate involved, but I'm not positive. I don't think that the Department of Revenue or the Internal Revenue Service allows assets to go away before they're transferred, but I'm sure there's rules, because those kind of estate plans are happen...they happen all the time.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Okay. Now, if there was a life estate, that means that the building would belong to whomever was in a position to grant the life estate. So if I contributed all of my interest in it, that would be everything I'm entitled to, less the life estate, that period of time. But here's...and then, if somebody who has a life estate, by the way, is wasting the asset, you know, not taking care of it or doing things to it, the life estate can be terminated so that the asset will not be done away with. That wasn't designed to be a trick question. I want to ask you again about Section 3. Why are you reluctant to say that this endowment, this operation, must expend its money in Nebraska or do its work within Nebraska?

SENATOR CONNEALY: Well, examples I can think of off the top of my head, if there was a Nebraska cancer foundation, if they saw a promising research project in Iowa or someplace else, that they'd still be allowed to do that as long as it fit that foundation's goals here in Nebraska.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Well, here's what I'm talking...

SENATOR CONNEALY: So we decided to limit it to Nebraska foundations, kept the money here and a majority of that most likely will be used in Nebraska.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Here's what I'm talking about. Specify that any contributions made pursuant to this bill must be used, expended, or exhausted within Nebraska. You'll look into that, won't you?