

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

May 23, 2005

LB 348

do share the frustration Senator Chambers does of using the court fees as the matching contribution. That was decided a number of years ago. In fact, it was in 1955 that the Legislature created the judges' retirement plan. And each year, we look at this, and we've been looking at the judges' plan, trying to increase those contributions. And as I have said before, it is very difficult. And I'd like to write that in stone, that we cannot increase benefits without increasing contributions, because what happens is usually a lawsuit will develop. But it was in 2004 that...July 1 of 2004 that the new rate for the judges went into effect. And they made the option, if they wanted to be part of this new benefit, that they would...those serving under 20 years would increase their contribution rate from 6 percent to 8 percent, and those serving over 20 years would increase their contribution rate from 0 to 4 percent. Now, I don't know--this is just a personal comment--why the judges who were serving over 20 years never contributed to the retirement fund in the past. I do not feel that that was appropriate. But at least we do have that change so that they now do contribute at least 4 percent, which is not, as I say, as much as some of the others. The school...so the state actually has only put in a minimum of about \$70,000, in the past, in the judges' retirement fund. That is appropriated yearly from some HELP money, and that will, I believe, run out in 2010 and 2011. So where we get...as I say, we get the contributing amount from the court fees. And what we are trying to do in this bill is raise that amount of court fees to help in matching. The school employees...of course, the schools are the employer, and so they do the matching contribution. The State Patrol, of course, it is the state. And so there are a number of things that we can look at. And I think that we need to continue to study the issue, is that maybe eventually we will want to put the court fees into the General Fund, and then have the state do a matching appropriation like they do in the State Patrol. I mean, I'm throwing that out as an idea that we certainly are not going to be able to do in the...

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.

SENATOR STUHR: ...near future, but something that might be studied. So there are a number of reasons that I will continue