

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

May 19, 2005

LB 673

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Okay, on line 1. Then in line 2, after "county," we would...we're talking about a resolution, and the current language says: The county shall assume the authority and so forth. We would insert the word "board" after the "county" so it's the county board that assumes that authority. Is that the way we understand this?

SENATOR LOUDEN: Right.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Then in line 4, we would strike two words, "or controlling." So then it would read: The act shall be applicable to persons owning property within the county. But if somebody controls that property, the act would not apply. Is that true?

SENATOR LOUDEN: Well, it would apply to the owner of the property, is what the clarification was, rather than someone who's leasing land. They wouldn't be the ones exactly be responsible for controlling the...managing their prairie dogs on there. It would revert back to the owner of the property; have to because if they didn't want to do it, the...we did away with the fines so if you were going to assess it to anybody, you'd have to assess it to the property, and they'd be the owners of the property.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: So it applies only to the owner...

SENATOR LOUDEN: Right.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...with this new language? All right. Then in lines 8, 14 and 25,...oh, we would strike the word "dogs" and insert "prairie dog colonies" so it's clear that that's what we're talking about dealing with, the county...I meant the prairie dog colony.

SENATOR LOUDEN: Yeah, that...they use...when this was written before, we had prairie dogs all over the place, and it really should be prairie dog colonies.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Okay. That's all I will ask you. Members of