

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

May 19, 2005

LB 117

crime that has been committed of which that person is a suspect. These types of things I strongly oppose for any reason, but when it comes to what we're talking about, a person has not done anything wrong by purchasing one of these products. The person's name goes into a database for potential criminals for having placed a legal act. If there are people who use cars as getaway vehicles in robberies of banks, should everybody who has a car have to go into a database so that if a license plate number is obtained they just run that against everybody who's in this database who has a car? Now, I know they can go to the Department of Motor Vehicles and get this information, but those lists are maintained for a purpose other than prosecutorial or to suggest that the person whose name is there may have committed a crime. This database is for the purpose of painting people with the brush of being a potential criminal. Why? Because you place a fully legal act. Let the State Patrol do whatever they want to. Let them try to get some senator to offer it. But I don't want a statute that gives state approval or authorization to conduct a study such as this when we know what the result is going to be. And some senators are overwhelmed and unduly influenced by any statement from law enforcement. I don't want to see a logbook of any kind in connection with fully legal actions. If a person goes to five different drug stores and at each drug store makes a purchase that is legal, some persons might say, but when you take them cumulatively a crime has been committed. Let the person have to work hard to get enough ingredients to commit the act that you really want to prevent; namely, the manufacture of methamphetamine. I am not in favor of 100 innocent people being made part of a log or a database to be used to prosecute criminals when maybe fewer than 1 out of 1,000 would even be suspected of having done something criminal. So I will oppose this study, and I hope I've made it clear why I would oppose it. I have to spend so much time in a Legislature where people are supposed to be conservatives, in a conservative state, trying to persuade you why people's privacy...

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...ought to be maintained inviolate when they are not suspected of committing a crime. In fact, the