TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE Transcriber's Office FLOOR DEBATE

May 19, 2005 LB 117

the same time that that's clearly the end goal and there's not much use, in my opinion, at stopping with a manual log, at the same time, we don't know what the price of that kind of an electronic log would be. So what I came to was an amendment that said this: By January 1, 2006, that is for the rest of this year, the State Patrol shall develop a complete plan and present it to the Judiciary Committee for an electronic log, and that plan would include electronic transmission of information by sellers, and a central repository capable of providing on-line access to the information collected in the form required by the Patrol and secured against unauthorized access, and then a fully researched estimate of the costs of implementing and maintaining the electronic log and central repository. So have the State Patrol do all this for the rest of this year and then the plan would be brought back for review and advice by the Judiciary Committee, and implemented by January 1 of 2007 if funding for the plan is provided by the Legislature. So the concept involves coming back to the Legislature with something substantive; let the Judiciary Committee advise again with regard to the substantive provisions of the plan; have a discussion the next year's appropriations bill, in the next year's appropriations debate as to whether you want to fund it. If you don't want to fund it, it would stop at that point in time. But if, as many of us are thinking now, that this is the way to make this truly effective without significant additional burdens on either the consumers or business, that this is probably the way to go, and this amendment would see to it that that path was thoroughly explored and brought back to your attention. Thank you.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Beutler. You've heard the opening on AM1655 by Senator Beutler. Open for discussion. Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Mr. President, members of the Legislature, no, no, a thousand times no. Why not? This is the first step toward obtaining a bill that would be enacted into law that would allow a database full of innocent people for the purpose of being used in criminal prosecutions. People are put into this database which is maintained for prosecutorial purposes. That person has not committed a crime. There is not even a