

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

May 19, 2005

LB 117

that insofar that it may be somewhat confusing as to what we're trying to accomplish here. But the underlying mission is to make it felony child abuse if you allow your children to be around a processing, cooking, or manufacturing effort of methamphetamine, and that makes sense to me. You don't want kids hanging out where Mom is, or Dad is, is cooking anhydrous ammonia and making meth. That's the point. Go to your schools across the state and ask if they have any kids who they think are a part of a family that makes or sells or is involved in the methamphetamine trade in Nebraska and they'll tell you a lot of these kids come to school on Monday and they haven't eaten for three days and they haven't seen Mom because she's been out selling or using. That has to stop and that is felony child abuse, and I support Senator Aguilar's effort to put it in the statute. Maybe we can clear it up with a technical amendment as to what we're trying to talk about or accomplish here, but I think Senator Aguilar has appropriately identified the need to specifically say if you're letting your kids live in this environment around methamphetamines, more than any other drug, we've seen that this drug has destroyed families because it takes this whole issue of what's right and wrong out of Mom's head or Dad's head and the kids usually fend for themselves, and that is felony child abuse. And I guess there's some interpretation that would have to occur, I guess we'd want it in the legislative intent, as to what "near" means. If Dad is doing this and nobody in the family knows and he's out in the Quonset and the kids are never over there, maybe that isn't felony child abuse, in my mind. But if it's in the garage and the kids are running around and the State Patrol shows us pictures of teddy bears and children's toys right next to the meth cooking materials and the meth lab, that's felony child abuse, if you can tie a child to the area where the child has been in and around the meth process, and it should be felony child abuse. And Senator Aguilar is right to do something about it, and it's a pretty extreme penalty and it should be. Senator Synowiecki raised an interesting point and that is our current child neglect and child abuse statutes might do the job, depending on the facts that you have in the given case. I think what we're looking for here is an immediate way to not only address the criminal activity and the danger on children, but we're looking for a way to get these kids out of the environment