

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

May 18, 2005

LB 478

clearance?

SENATOR CONNEALY: Everyone employed in the company, was testimony and discussion, that some of these companies have everyone that they hire have to have these kind of clearances.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Is that what's in this federal regulation?

SENATOR CONNEALY: The federal regulation has to do with the kind of work that they do.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: So what is there in this language that says that the employee has this high security clearance?

SENATOR CONNEALY: It doesn't.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: So it would cover people who don't have a high security clearance, wouldn't it?

SENATOR CONNEALY: Yes, that's what I said.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Okay. And some of these who don't have the high security clearance would not be those that are being sought by other states. Is that true?

SENATOR CONNEALY: The way the system works, most likely they would be employed in the same kind of field in other states. So I don't think I'd answer that in the affirmative.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: (Laugh) Thank you, Senator Yogi Berra. Members of the Legislature...thank you, Senator Connealy. That's all I will ask you. He reminds me of something Yogi probably would say--I did not say everything that I said...they accused me of having said. But anyway, there is nothing in this language...

SPEAKER BRASHEAR: One minute.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...that says what Senator Connealy or anybody else has said. We're asked...being asked to operate on presumptions and assumptions, and the constitutional issues