

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

May 18, 2005

LB 478

security clearance to work for this company, do they?

SENATOR CONNEALY: They have to be military retirees that make over \$40,000 a year.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: But they don't have to have a security clearance, do they, under the language of this amendment?

SENATOR CONNEALY: No.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: So why was everybody talking about these highly qualified people with security clearance that are wanted in other states?

SENATOR CONNEALY: Because they tend to hire those. Those are the people that are in short supply.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: But those are not the ones covered by this amendment, are they?

SENATOR CONNEALY: Yes, they are, because they are covered by it, yes. They aren't exclusively covered by it, but they are covered.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Thank you. They're not exclusively...

SENATOR CONNEALY: But...but the understanding was that groundskeepers most likely had security clearance, too. These are companies that are highly classified and very security conscious companies.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: You are talking all...would you stay on your feet, if you don't mind, Senator Connealy? I'm not through questioning you yet.

SPEAKER BRASHEAR: Senator Connealy, will you continue to yield?

SENATOR CONNEALY: Yes.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Senator Connealy, where do you get the idea that a groundskeeper would have to have a high security